## 1750

of  $C_2B_4H_8$  to the unique but somewhat delocalized B-B single bond in  $B_4H_{10}$ . Also included in Table I are previous results<sup>3</sup> for  $B_5H_9$  which we may now interpret in terms of fractional three-center bonds. We introduce the notation of a dotted component of the bond at the appropriate atom in order to distinguish this new bonding situation from the earlier notations for bonds in boron hydrides and carboranes. It is interesting, and somewhat unexpected, that the molecular bonding localizes symmetrically to a structure containing fractional three-center bonds, instead of to the very nearly equivalent resonance hybrid shown, for example, for the  $C_2B_4H_8$  molecule in Figure 2a, or to one of the previously considered<sup>4</sup> symmetrical structures shown in Figure 2b and c. Of course, similar structures can be written for  $2,4-C_2B_5H_7$  and  $1,6-C_2B_4H_6$ .

Recognition of these fractional three-center bonds allows unique, or symmetry equivalent, single valence structures to be drawn for at least these carboranes, in such a way that a common feature of bonding is preserved. These results, together with the uniquely localized bonds in the boron hydrides listed above, suggest that similar simplified bonding diagrams may emerge from more complex boranes, carboranes, carbonium-like compounds, and their metal derivatives.

Acknowledgment. We wish to thank the Office of Naval Research and the Advanced Research Projects Agency for support and the National Science Foundation for a fellowship for D. S. M. We also acknowledge helpful comments from E. Switkes.

> Dennis S. Marynick, William N. Lipscomb\* Department of Chemistry, Harvard University Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138 Received December 18, 1971

## A Remarkably Facile Reductive Opening of Tetrahydrofuran and Related Ethers by Lithium Tri-tert-butoxyaluminohydride in the Presence of Triethylborane

## Sir:

Lithium tri-tert-butoxyaluminohydride is stable indefinitely in tetrahydrofuran at 25°, <sup>1,2</sup> The addition of 1 mol equiv of triethylborane induces a rapid opening of the tetrahydrofuran ring, essentially complete within 3 hr, with the formation of 1-butanol. Even a catalytic quantity of triethylborane (10 mol %) is effective. With this new reagent 2,5-dihydrofuran is readily converted into cis-crotyl alcohol and the bicyclic ring system of 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane is readily opened to form cyclohexanol. Consequently, it appears that this reducing system is one of the most active currently available.

We recently reported that the reaction of B-alkyl-9-**BBN** derivatives with carbon monoxide in the presence of lithium trimethoxyaluminohydride (LTMA) provides a major new route from olefins to the corresponding aldehydes.<sup>3</sup> In extending this synthesis to olefins containing reducible functional groups it proved advantageous to shift to the milder reducing agent, lithium tri-tert-butoxyaluminohydride<sup>4</sup> (LTBA). However, we observed a puzzling feature in applying this last reagent. To obtain a good yield it was important that the reagent be added concurrently with the uptake of carbon monoxide. If the reagent were added to the organoborane prior to the introduction of carbon monoxide, the yield decreased sharply.

Investigation soon revealed that such solutions of LTBA and organoboranes rapidly lost active hydride with the concurrent formation of 1-butanol from reductive opening of the tetrahydrofuran (THF), utilized as solvent. For example, a 0.5 M solution of LTBA in THF appears to be stable indefinitely at 25°. Addition of an equimolar amount of triethylborane to such a solution results in a very rapid loss of hydride, with 72% of the active hydride disappearing in 5 min. Upon hydrolyzing the reaction mixture, an equivalent quantity of 1-butanol is found.

A catalytic quantity of triethylborane is also effective. Thus, the addition of 10 mol % of triethylborane causes the loss of 60% of the active hydride in 30 min, with the formation of 55% of 1-butanol. The reactions are essentially complete in 3 hr (Figure 1).

Thus triethylborane induces a rapid, essentially quantitative opening of the tetrahydrofuran ring at 25° (eq 1). To our knowledge no reducing system

$$\bigvee_{O} + \text{LiAlH}(O\text{-}tert \cdot Bu)_3 \xrightarrow{\text{Et}_3B} \text{Li}[n \cdot BuOAl(O\text{-}tert \cdot Bu)_3]$$
(1)

currently available is capable of achieving the reductive cleavage of THF so rapidly and cleanly. For example, diborane has been recommended for this purpose, but it requires 64 hr at 60° to achieve a 64% conversion to 1-butanol (eq 2).<sup>5</sup>

$$\bigvee_{O} + BH_3 \xrightarrow{60^{\circ}} (n \cdot BuO)_3 B \qquad (2)$$

Surprisingly, triethylborane fails to induce a similar reductive cleavage of THF by the otherwise more powerful reducing agent, lithium trimethoxyaluminohydride.6

It would, of course, be very desirable to have an understanding of the mechanism of this reaction which would provide a reasonable explanation for the remarkable reducing capability exhibited by the system and for the marked difference in behavior of the methoxy and tert-butoxy derivatives. However, it appeared more timely to explore the utility of this new reduction for desired tranformations in synthetic operations.

Monoglyme dissolves LTBA and the addition of triethylborane results in a rapid evolution of a gas, presumably methane. In 1 hr at 25° there was identified the formation of 47 % of 2-methoxyethanol. The reagent is also soluble in tetrahydropyran (THP). However, reductive cleavage of this ether is much slower. We found only 17% of 1-pentanol after 24 hr. Consequently, we adopted this solvent for a survey of certain interesting possibilities for reductive cleavages.

The reaction of cyclohexene oxide with LTBA is very slow.<sup>2</sup> Yet the addition of triethylborane causes

- (6) H. C. Brown and P. M. Weissman, ibid., 87, 5614 (1965).

<sup>(1)</sup> H. C. Brown and R. F. McFarlin, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 80, 5372 (1958).

H. C. Brown and P. M. Weissman, Israel J. Chem., 1, 430 (1963).
 H. C. Brown, E. F. Knights, and R. A. Coleman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 2144 (1969).

<sup>(4)</sup> H. C. Brown and R. A. Coleman, *ibid.*, 91, 4606 (1969).
(5) J. Kollonitsch, *ibid.*, 83, 1515 (1961).

**Table I.** Reaction of Lithium Tri-*tert*-butoxyaluminohydride–Triethylborane with Representative Cyclic Ethers in Tetrahydropyran at 25° <sup>a</sup>

Compound	[LTBA], M	[Et <sub>3</sub> B], <i>M</i>	Time, hr	Product	Yield, <sup>6</sup> %
Cyclohexene oxide	1.0	0.00	0.25	Cyclohexanol	4
	1.0	0.1	0,01	Cyclohexanol	100
	1.0	1.0	0.01	Cyclohexanol	100
Tetrahydrofuran	1.0	0.1	24.0	1-Butanol	100
	1.0	1.0	0.5	1-Butanol	98
2-Methyltetrahydrofuran	2.0	2.0	6.0	2-Pentanol	95
2,2-Dimethyltetrahydrofuran	2.0	2.0	24.0	2-Methyl-2-pentanol	4
2,5-Dihydrofuran	1.0	0.1	24.0	cis-Crotyl alcohol	91
	1.0	1.0	2.0	cis-Crotyl alcohol	95
7-Oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane	2.0	2.0	3.0	Cyclohexanol	97

 $^{a}$  In all cases the reaction mixture was 0.5 *M* in the cyclic ether. In reactions involving longer reaction times, some amount of 1-pentanol is also formed from THP.  $^{b}$  The yields reported were determined by glpc utilizing a suitable internal standard.

an almost instantaneous opening of the epoxide ring (eq 3).

$$O \xrightarrow{\text{LTBA, Et_3B, THP}} OH$$
(3)

This reductive cleavage could be readily applied to tetrahydrofuran and 2-methyltetrahydrofuran (in THP solution) (eq 4). On the other hand, the reaction with

2,2-dimethyltetrahydrofuran failed to proceed.

2,5-Dihydrofuran is readily converted to *cis*-crotyl alcohol in 95% yield (eq 5).

$$\begin{array}{c} \overbrace{O} & \xrightarrow{\text{LTBA, Et_3B, THP}} & \overbrace{\text{CH}_3 & \text{CH}_2\text{OH}} \end{array}$$
(5)

Finally, we observed that the bicyclic ring system of 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane readily undergoes this reductive ring cleavage (eq 6).

~ 7 1

The experimental results are summarized in Table I.

The following procedure is representative. An oven-dried 50-ml flask, equipped with a side arm fitted with a silicone rubber stopple, a magnetic stirring bar, and a reflux condenser connected to a mercury bubbler, was cooled to room temperature under nitrogen. In the flask was placed 2.54 g of lithium tri-tert-butoxyaluminohydride (10 mmol) and 2.6 ml of THP. The flask was immersed in a water bath at 25°. Then 0.5 ml of a 5.0 M solution in THP of 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]heptane (2.5 mmol) was injected into the reaction flask, followed by 0.5 ml of a 2.0 M solution in THP of *n*-tridecane (1.0 mmol) to serve as internal standard, followed by 1.42 ml of triethylborane (10 mmol). The mixture was stirred vigorously. After 1 hr, 0.5 ml of the reaction mixture was withdrawn with a syringe, and quenched with a mixture of water and ether. The aqueous phase was saturated with anhydrous potassium carbonate. Glpc analysis of the dry ethereal layer revealed the presence of 91% cyclohexanol. In 3 hr, a 97 % yield of cyclohexanol was realized.

In conclusion, it should be pointed out that the reaction evidently involves an SN2 displacement on carbon. Consequently, the use of lithium tri-tert-

butoxyaluminodeuteride should make available the corresponding monodeutero derivatives. Even more promising is the attainment of an understanding of the mode of operation of this system and the extension



Figure 1. Reductive cleavage of tetrahydrofuran at  $25^{\circ}$  by lithium tri-*tert*-butoxyaluminohydride (0.5 *M*) in the absence and presence of triethylborane.

of this extraordinarily powerful system to other compounds not easily handled by lithium aluminum hydride and other previously available reducing agents. We are continuing our explorations in this area.

(7) Postdoctoral Research Associate, on Grant No. DA 31-124
ARO(D) 453 supported by the U. S. Army Research Office (Durham).
(8) Recipient of a National Science Foundation Traineeship at Purdue University.

Herbert C. Brown,\* S. Krishnamurthy,<sup>7</sup> Randolph A. Coleman<sup>8</sup> Richard B. Wetherill Laboratory, Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana 47907 Received December 10, 1971